IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 DERRICK D. SIMS,

No. C 08-1691 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 56(f) MOTION AS MOOT

v.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(Docket no. 66)

MICHAEL C. SAYRE, et al.,

Defendants.

On October 4, 2010, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff's opposition is due on December 6, 2010.

In an Order dated November 1, 2010, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery after construing it as a request for a continuance for discovery pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.¹ The Court also denied Plaintiff's motion for sanctions.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's "Motion for Continuance to File Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [sic]." In that motion, Plaintiff requests for a continuance under Rule 56(f), and he alleges that "Defendants failed to comply with [his] requests for production of documents, and admissions as well as interrogatories necessary to complete [his] opposition to summary judgment [sic] in this matter." (Pl.'s Mot. at 1.)

In its November 1, 2010 Order, the Court found that a continuance under Rule 56(f) was not warranted stating:

As the Ninth Circuit stated in Continental Maritime v. Pacific Coast Metal Trades, 817 F.2d 1391, 1395 (9th Cir. 1987), under Rule 56(f) "the party seeking a continuance bears the burden to show what specific facts it hopes to discover that will raise an issue of material fact." <u>Id.</u> Plaintiff has not met this burden. The requested documents are sought without explanation of what specific, material facts these documents will likely disclose. Plaintiff does not explain in his motion to

Should it appear from the affidavits of a party opposing the motion that the party cannot for reasons stated present by affidavit facts essential to justify the party's opposition, the court may refuse the application for judgment or may order a continuance to permit affidavits to be obtained or depositions to be taken or discovery to be had or may make such other order as is just.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f).

2223

24

2526

27

28

¹ Rule 56(f), entitled "When Affidavits are Unavailable," states:

For the Northern District of California

compel what specific facts he hopes to discover that will raise an issue of material fact. Plaintiff fails to demonstrate how "additional discovery would have revealed specific facts precluding summary judgment." See Tatum v. City and County of S.F., 441 F.3d 1090, 1101 (9th Cir. 2006). In addition, Defendants claim they have responded to Plaintiff's discovery requests. Thus, Plaintiff has not met his burden under Rule 56(f). Therefore, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's request for a continuance for discovery pursuant to Rule 56.

(Nov. 1, 2010 Order at 3.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's newly-filed 56(f) motion is identical to his previously-filed motion to compel, which had been construed as a 56(f) motion. Because the Court has already denied Plaintiff's previously-filed 56(f) motion, the present 56(f) motion (docket no. 66) is DENIED as moot.

This Order terminates Docket no. 66.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/9/10

United States District Judge

G:\PRO-SE\SBA\CR.08\Sims1691.denyEOT56(f)asMOOT.wpd

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	DERRICK SIMS,
4	Case Number: CV08-01691 SBA Plaintiff,
5	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE v.
6	MICHAEL SAYRE et al,
7 8	Defendant.
9	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
11	That on November 10, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
12	
13	
14	
15	Derrick D. Sims J-20913 Pelican Bay State Prison
16	5905 Lake Earl Drive P.O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95531
17	
18	Dated: November 10, 2010
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	G:\PRO-SE\SBA\CR.08\Sims1691.denyEOT56(f)\asMOOT.wpd